



Donald Schwartz <donald@lawofficedonaldschwartz.com>

Sun, Oct 2, 2022 at 3:09 PM

1 message

Re: Default against Adli

2 **Donald Schwartz** <donald@lawofficedonaldschwartz.com>
2 To: Alexander Baker <alex@pmjmp.org>
2 Cc: Edward Stolz <Edward_Stolz@comcast.net>
1 Rçc: State Bar Advice <sam@statebaradvice.com>, Duck 🐌 Goose <1497363@bcc.hubspot.com>

Entered Four "inner Alex" is showing again (e.g., fraudulent gaslighting and set-up manipulations).

ଞ୍ଚୁ ଘ have no opinion as to whether or not the Alameda County case is stayed as to the Adli Law Group, P.C., and I have Esafer to assume the stay than not, since the sanction for stay violation includes potential contempt.

Filed 11/22/22

Eachs to Darius Adli personally, it appears that the law supports that the law supports that the law supports that the process server and you took-on serving the Summons and Complaint on the Adli Law Group, P.C. is a risk the process server and you took-on when the two of you defied my instructions not to serve the Adli Law Group, P.C. Now, taking a default is quite another the process server and you took-on advise you about since I no longer represent you in the matter.

Doc 284-13

∄have found no Ninth Circuit law expressly stating that the stay is in place as to the Adli Law Group, P.C. (or not in illace) vis-a-vis a (potentially non-dischargeable) civil RICO cause of action. I believe you have not found such law **S**ither

Case 2:21-bk-18572-BB donald@lawofficedonaldschwartz.com Aptos, CA 95003 831-331-9909/Fax: 815-301-6556 Law Office of Donald C. Schwartz Donald Charles Schwartz, MBA, JD 7960-B Soquel Drive, No. 291

Confidentiality, Limitation Of Use, And No Binding Effect

protected by the Electronic Communications Act (18 U.S.C.§2510-2521) and or the Health Insurance Portability & Accountability Act of 1996 (42 USC 201) & Schwartz, Esq. and the Law Offices of Donald C. Schwartz; (ii) confidential, private and contain non-disclosable information for the exclusive use of the Recipient (iii) "Recipient") and may not be relied upon by any other person(s) or entity(ies) ("Others") for any purpose without specific written permission of Donald Charles The preceding message contents and any attachments (collectively "Contents") are: (i) solely for the benefit of the person(s) or entity(les) addressed (collectively

1/21/22, 6:21 PM

states & federal laws; (iv) not intended or written and may not be used for the purpose of avoiding federal taxes or penalties nor used to promote, market or Contents with publicly accessible records, and (vi) subject to continued application of the foregoing to any subsequent retransmission, publication or disclosure. Donald C. Schwartz to any contract or agreement under the California Uniform Electronic Transaction Act (CA Civil Code §1633.1-1633.17) and all other similar unless expressly stated not intended to be an electronic signature for purposes of binding any client of or Donald Charles Schwartz, Esq. and the Law Offices of and all downloading, photocopying, distributing or otherwise using the Contents by Others in any way is prohibited; (iv) sent for informational purposes only and recommend any transaction or matter addressed in the Contents (See IRS Circular 230); (v) Recipient should not save or file electronic or paper copies of the privacy rules and may also be legally privileged (including attorney-client or attorney work-product privilege), confidential, proprietary or otherwise protected by law

On Sun, Oct 2, 2022 at 1:32 PM Alexander Baker <alex@pmjmp.org> wrote

I'm glad to hear your opinion that the case against Adli was not stayed. They are past 30 days from service, thus in default

I will be entering a default, and suggest that you consult with Ed and consider entering a default on behalf of the RIBC Plaintiffs

Archive of Ask Alex videos - "questioning postmodern justice" Archive of Special Reports and DIY- LAW videos President, Post Modern Justice Media Project Alexander C. Baker, J.D.